## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Vineyard, et al.

Appl. No.

10/808,221

Filed

March 23, 2004

For

MULTIPLE OPERATING SYSTEM QUICK

**BOOT UTILITY** 

Examiner

Kieu D. Vu

**Group Art Unit** 

2175

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance mailed December 31, 2008, Applicant respectfully submits the following comments.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance to the extent that the limitations recited by the Examiner are not present in all of the claims. Also, to the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of a single feature, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement because it is the combination of features that makes the claims patentable.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that the claims of the present application are allowable because each of the claims recites a combination of features that are not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 3-26-09

By:

John R. King

Registration No. 34,362

Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

6882772:ad 032609